

be directed to the above address on or before 60 days from the publication of this notice.

Mary V. Yonts,

Alternate Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.

[FR Doc. 97-27536 Filed 10-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. TM98-1-84-001]

Caprock Pipeline Company; Notice of Proposed Changes in FERC Gas Tariff

October 10, 1997.

Take notice that on October 8, 1997, Caprock Pipeline company (Caprock) tendered for filing as part of its FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, the following revised Tariff sheets, to be effective October 1, 1997:

Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 4

Substitute Sixth Revised Sheet No. 5

Caprock states that these substitute Tariff sheets are being submitted to comply with the Commission's September 29, 1997 Order in this proceeding.

Caprock states that copies of the filing were served upon Caprock's jurisdictional customers, interested public bodies, and all parties to the proceedings.

Any person desiring to protest said filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Section 385.211 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All such protests must be filed as provided in Section 154.210 of the Commission's Regulations. All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-27562 Filed 10-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP97-406-000]

CNG Transmission Corporation; Notice of Technical Conference

October 10, 1997.

In the Commission's order issued July 31, 1997, the Commission held that, if necessary, the Staff may convene a technical conference to address issues raised by comments on CNG's supplemental filing concerning changes to its terms and conditions of service.

Take notice that the technical conference will be held on Friday, October 31, 1997 at 9:00 a.m., in a room to be designated at the offices of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. All interested parties and Staff are permitted to attend.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 97-27558 Filed 10-16-97; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP98-6-000]

Dauphin Island Gathering Partners; Notice of Application

October 10, 1997.

Take notice that on October 3, Dauphin Island Gathering Partners (DIGS), c/o OEDC, Inc., 1400 Woodloch Forest Drive, Suite 200, the Woodlands, Texas 77380, filed in Docket Nos. CP98-6-000 an application, pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act and Section 157 of the Commission's Regulations, for a certificate of public convenience and necessity to construct facilities to implement the second phase of a two-stage construction project to attach offshore supplies to an onshore delivery point near Coden, Louisiana. Specifically, DIGS proposes to (1) construct and operate approximately 13 miles of 24-inch pipeline extending from Alabama state waters at State Tract 73 to a proposed processing facility near Coden, Alabama, and (2) abandon a temporary interconnection located in Alabama State Tract 73 between DIGS existing facilities and DIGS' 65-mile pipeline authorized to be constructed by DIGS in Docket No. CP97-300-000, and for approval of *pro forma* tariff sheets providing for negotiated rates for both firm and interruptible services, and

other tariff modifications, all as more fully set forth in the applications, which are on file with the Commission and open for public inspection.

DIGS states that, in its application filed in Docket No. CP97-300-000, it proposed to construct its system in two stages, with authorization sought in that application only for the first phase. DIGS indicated that the Phase I facilities would extend from Main Pass Block 225 to Alabama State Tract 73 (MP facilities). DIGS also stated that, pending completion of the Phase II facilities, which are being proposed in this application, the Phase I facilities would interconnect temporarily with existing facilities that extend from Block 73 onshore to Coden, Alabama (the DI facilities) where gas would be delivered to the systems of interstate pipeline companies.

DIGS estimates a construction cost of the proposed facilities of \$19,368,716, which would be financed from cash on hand from the various partners of DIGS.

DIGS has included *pro forma* tariff sheets with its application as First Revised Volume No. 1 to its tariff setting forth the rate schedules, general terms and conditions and forms of service agreements that would be provided if the requested certificate is granted. It is indicated the proposed tariff would replace the Original Volume No. 1 of the tariff filed on September 2, 1997, in compliance with the June 27, 1997, order approving the Phase I facilities. It is also stated that the proposed tariff reflects separate service for the DI facility in that, after Phase II is completed, Dauphin Island's DI facilities and MP facilities will no longer be connected and will ship gas of different qualities. DIGS states that as a result, different quality specifications are set forth for the transportation of rich gas through the MP facilities and lean gas through the DI facilities.

DIGS states that the Commission's June 27, 1997, order permitted DIGS to charge and collect a recourse rate of \$0.1756 for Rate Schedule FT-1 and further authorized DIGS to charge negotiated rates for service under Rate Schedules FT-2 and FT-3. It is indicated that the June 27, 1997, order stated that the Commission would review DIGS' rate methodology when DIGS filed its certificate application for the Phase II facilities. DIGS now proposes to modify its methodology to reflect that it will be operating two separate jurisdictional facilities because of different operational requirements related to the rich versus lean nature of the two gas streams and assertion of Commission jurisdiction over facilities previously operated as gathering